CS 4440 – APRIL 7, 2025 DATABASES IN THE WILD

NICK DOWNEY - GUEST LECTURER (FOUNDER AND CEO OF MERCH LOGIX, INC., GA TECH CMPE 'O2)

POP QUIZ

- HOW MANY SECONDS ARE IN A DAY?
 - 86,400
- WHY ARE MANHOLES ROUND?
 - END-USER PROOF
- WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING?
 MONEY
- WHAT IS THE DEFINITION OF LEGACY SOFTWARE?
 - SOFTWARE THAT WORKS
- WHAT IS THE BEST DBMS?
 - TRICK QUESTION

FASHIONABLE IS NOT A DESIGN CRITERIA

- ORACLE IS REALLY FAST AND HAS TRUSTWORTHY REPLICATION
 - IT IS USUALLY THE MOST EXPENSIVE CHOICE, SOMETIMES PROHIBITIVELY
- IMB'S DB2 IS TRUSTED BY ENTERPRISE
 - PREMIUM SUPPORT IS ONLY AVAILABLE ON IBM HARDWARE
- MICROSOFT SQL SERVER IS EASY TO USE AND A "SAFE" CHOICE
 - FRIENDS DON'T LET FRIENDS RUN MICROSOFT
- POSTGRESQL IS FREE, AND ALMOST AS FAST AS ORACLE
 - YOU HAVE TO MAKE A PLAN FOR SUPPORT YOURSELF

FAMILIARITY IS NOT A DESIGN CRITERIA

- MONGO DB SCALES EASY AND HAS TONS OF SUPPORT
 - IT IS A DOCUMENT STORE NOT A KEY-VALUE STORE, NOR CAN IT DO GRAPHS
- COUCHBASE DOES BOTH DOCUMENTS AND KEY-VALUE
 - SUPPORT IS NOT AS MATURE AS MONGO DB
- REDIS IS **FASI** AND GREAT FOR REAL-TIME APPLICATION
 - HOW MUCH RAM YOU GOT THERE, PADRE?
- CASSANDRA IS PRODUCED BY APACHE, AND SUPPORTS WIDE COLUMNS
 - BEWARE OF THE SLIPPERY SLOPE, RDBMS'S STILL HAVE A ROLE TO PLAY

PIPE WRENCHES MAKE POOR HAMMERS

- UNDERSTAND THE PHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS
 - VOLUME, VARIETY AND VELOCITY OF THE DATA
- UNDERSTAND THE TEMPORAL CONSTRAINTS
 - TIME TO INGEST, TIME TO SYNTHESIZE, TIME TO REPORT, TIME TO RECOVER
- UNDERSTAND THE LEGAL CONSTRAINTS
 - OWNERSHIP, CUSTODY AND COMPLIANCE
- UNDERSTAND THE FINANCIAL CONSTRAINTS
 - COST TO CREATE, COST TO RUN, COST TO MAINTAIN

GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR DBA'S

- THINK OF YOURSELF AS A "CURATOR OF THOUGHT"
 - TABLE AND COLUMN NAMES CAN LIVE FOR DECADES, CHOOSE CAREFULLY. E.G. DENOTATIONS VS CONNOTATIONS
- THE CLAIM OF "OVER-NORMALIZATION" IS OFTEN A SMOKE SCREEN FOR APATHY OR LAZINESS.
 - YOU CAN'T QUERY OR JOIN ON COLUMNS AND TABLES THAT DON'T EXIST.
- DO NOT SUCCUMB TO VENDOR LOCK-IN, TRY TO KEEP IT "AGNOSTIC"
 - FANCY IS YOUR ENEMY IN A PRODUCTION FAILURE

BACKGROUND ON CASE STUDY (1 OF 3)

- RETAIL STORES HOMOGENEITY REALITY CHECK
 - LOCALIZATION
- WHAT IS A STOCK KEEPING UNIT (SKU)
 - SOME UPC'S ARE SKU'S, NOT ALL SKU'S ARE UPC'S
- WHAT IS GROSS MARGIN (NOT A TRICK QUESTION)
- WHAT IS PERFORMANCE DATA
 - TRANSACTION LOG "TLOG" ONE LINE ITEM FROM ONE RECEIPT
- WHAT IS A PLANOGRAM LIVE DEMO!

BACKGROUND ON CASE STUDY (2 OF 3)

- TICKET A STORE LEVEL SALES RECORD OF ONE OR MORE TLOG'S, NAMELY:
 - THE STORE ID, THE STORE LOCAL DATE, THE STORE LOCAL TIME
 - THE DISTINCT SKU'S YOU PURCHASED, AND THE QUANTITY OF EACH
 - THE UNIT PRICE YOU PAID FOR EACH SKU, AND THE EXTENDED PRICE
 - THE DISCOUNTS AND COUPONS YOU USED
 - AND THE TOTAL SALE AMOUNT
- DEPARTMENT -> CATEGORY -> SUBCATEGORY
 - ORAL CARE -> TOOTH BRUSHES -> ELECTRIC
- DIVISION -> REGION -> DISTRICT -> METRO -> STORE
 - EAST -> SOUTHEAST -> GREATER ATLANTA -> SMYRNA -> CUMB. #121

BACKGROUND ON CASE STUDY (3 OF 3)

- MERCHANI PERFORMANCE BASED COMPENSATION
- STORE MANAGER PERFORMANCE BASED COMPENSATION
- SUPPLIERS OBVIOUSLY WANT TO SELL AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE
- WALL STREET BENCHMARKS
 - SAME STORE SALES USUALLY EXPRESSED AS A PERCENTAGE, IN RELATION TO HISTORICAL E.G. 10% INCREASE FROM Q1 TO Q2, OR 18% YEAR-OVER-YEAR.
 - GROSS MARGIN PER SQUARE FOOT, BY CATEGORY EXP. IN DOLLARS/SQ. FT.
 - AVERAGE TICKET SIZE EXPRESSED IN DOLLARS

CASE STUDY - CLIENT PROFILE / OBJECTIVE

- NATIONAL GROCERY CHAIN
- \$13,000,000,000.00 (THIRTEEN BILLION) ANNUAL GROSS SALES
- 534 STORES IN THE UNITED STATES
- AVERAGE TICKET SIZE IS \$65, WITH 7 DISTINCT SKU'S.
- CLIENT GOAL COMPUTE GROSS MARGIN PER SQUARE FOOT, PER CATEGORY, OVER ANY ARBITRARY TIME WINDOW (T_0 104 WKS.), OVER ANY STORE, DISTRICT, REGION OR DIVISION, AND/OR BY PLANOGRAM.

CASE STUDY - CURRENT STATE

- AWS / LINUX / NGINX / PHP / NODEJS / POSTGRESL
- ALL FLOORPLANS ARE IN-SYSTEM (TB_LOCATION_FLOORPLAN*)
- ALL PLANOGRAMS ARE IN-SYSTEM (TB_PLANOGRAM*)
- ALL PRODUCT DATA ARE IN-SYSTEM (TB_PRODUCT×)
- PERFORMANCE (SALES) DATA (TLOG'S) ARE NOT IN-SYSTEM (TB_???)
- SCHEMA IS PURELY RELATIONAL (~1,200 TABLES)
 - LARGEST CUSTOMERS ARE IN THE 10'S OF GB'S

WHERE DO WE START !? (HINT: SEE SLIDE 5)

• SIZE OF THE DATA (PHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS)

\$13*B*

$$\frac{yr}{\frac{\$65}{ticket}} = 200M \ tickets/yr$$

• 200*M* tickets/yr *
$$\left(7\frac{t\log' s}{ticket}\right) = 1.4B t\log' s/yr$$

- 104 wks * 52wk/yr * 1.4B $\frac{t \log' s}{vr} = 2.8B t \log' s$
- GREAT! HOW BIG IS ONE (1) TLOG?

• NEXT SLIDE!

ANATOMY OF A TRANSACTION / RECEIPT

```
"tid": numeric(32,8), //external surrogate key
"store" : numeric(6),
                       //store number/surrogate key
"date" : date stamp,
                       //date of sale local to store
                        //time of sale local to store
"time" : time stamp,
"tlog" : [
                        //array of items purchased in this sale
          "SKU" : numeric(32,0), //unique sku number of this item
                                  //quantity purchased
   "unit price" : numeric(9,2), //per unit price
   "ext. price" : numeric(9,2), //quantity * unit price
    "unit cost" : numeric(9,2), //per unit cost
                                  //array of coupons used for this item
      "coupons" : [],
    "discounts" : []
                                  //array of discounts used for this item
 }
"total list price" : numeric(9,2),
"total discounts" : numeric(9,2),
  "total coupons" : numeric(9,2),
```

```
"total sale" : numeric(9,2)
```

}

APPROXIMATE SIZE ON DISK

- ONE TLOG CAN BE SAFELY APPROXIMATED AS "MANY HUNDREDS OF BYTES"
 WE CAN SANELY ROUND UP TO 1 KILOBYTE (1KB)
- FROM EARLIER SLIDE

• 104 wks * $\frac{52wks}{yr}$ * 1.4B $\frac{tlog's}{yr}$ = 2.8B tlog's • 2.8B tlog's * 1,024 $\frac{bytes}{tlog} \cong$ 2.8TB • HOW MANY BYTES PER DAY COMING IN? • $\frac{2.8TB}{2yr} = \frac{1.4TB}{365days} = 3.8GB/day$

TEMPORAL CONSIDERATIONS (INGESTION)

• HOW MANY TLOGS COMING IN AND AT WHAT FREQUENCY?

•
$$\frac{1.4B\frac{t\log' s}{yr}}{365\frac{day's}{yr}} = \frac{3.8M t\log' s}{day} \cong \frac{160k t\log' s}{hr} \cong \frac{2.6k t\log' s}{min} \cong 45 \frac{t\log' s}{second}$$

- HOW LONG DOES THE VALIDATION ROUTINE FOR ONE (1) TLOG TAKE?
 - ARRAY OF TEXT (BYTES) IS ACTUALLY VALID JSON (UNICODE/ASCII, ESCAPED?, ETC)
 - REGULAR EXPRESSION ON TOTAL PAYLOAD AND/OR EACH FIELD
 - VALIDATE SURROGATE KEYS (STORE, SKU) AND SANITY (ALL $\$ \ge 0$, ALL QTY ≥ 0)
 - THE CORRECT ANSWER IS: MICROSECONDS
- WHAT IS THE WRITE SPEED OF THE DATABASE?
 - POSTGRES CAN KEEP UP IF PROPERTY CONFIGURED

TEMPORAL CONSIDERATIONS (ANALYSIS / REPORTING)

- WE'RE BEING PAID FOR SYNTHESIS AND REPORTING
- WHAT, IF ANY, INDEXES ARE ON THESE DATA?
 - HOW LONG DOES VACUUMING TAKE ON BILLIONS OF ROWS?
 - HOW MUCH SPACE DO THE INDEXES OCCUPY ON DISK?
- WHAT IS THE READ SPEED, WHILE WRITING, OF THE DB AND DISK?
 - WHAT IS ROUND-TRIP TIME FROM USER TO DISK AND BACK?
 - MOST OF THE TIME "TOO SLOW" SPLIT READ/WRITE?
- DO WE PAY A PENALTY FOR MASS DELETE?
 - TABLE LOCKING IS THE BANE OF EVERYONE'S DIGITAL LIVES

TEMPORAL CONSIDERATIONS (ANALYSIS)

- THE BASIC USE CASE: HOW MUCH MONEY DID 1 SKU MAKE, ON 1 DAY, AT 1 STORE. FORMALLY: "WHAT IS THE GROSS MARGIN PER SKU, PER STORE, PER DAY."
 - E.G. HOW MUCH MONEY DID I EARN ON AVOCADOS AT STORE #456, YESTERDAY.
- THEY WANT STORE-ORG-LEVEL ROLL-UPS, AND SLIDING WINDOWS!
 - E.G. HOW MUCH MONEY DID I EARN ON AVOCADOS AT ALL THE STORES IN DISTRICT #12, LAST WEEK. DID BANANAS DO ANY BETTER?
- THEY ALSO WANT CATEGORY-LEVEL ROLL-UPS LAYERED ON-TOP
 - E.G. HOW MUCH MONEY DID WE EARN ON PRODUCE AT ALL THE STORES IN THE METRO-PHOENIX REGION, OVER THE TRAILING 4-WEEKS.

TEMPORAL CONSIDERATIONS (ANALYSIS CONT.)

- A FANCY USE CASE: HOW MUCH GROSS MARGIN DID THIS PLANOGRAM GENERATE IN THE LAST FISCAL QUARTER.
 - E.G. HOW MUCH MONEY DID I EARN ON EACH SKU AT ON THIS PLANOGRAM AT ONE OR MORE STORES OVER QX. PRESUMES SAME PLANOGRAM AT MULTIPLE STORES.
- A FANCIER USE CASE: HOW DID THE GROSS MARGIN FOR PLANOGRAM A COMPARE TO PLANOGRAM B, OVER ALL OF LAST YEAR
 - E.G. WHICH OF THESE TWO SIMILAR PLANOGRAMS HAS BETTER MARGIN PERFORMANCE.
- A YET FANCIER USE CASE: HOW DID THE GROSS MARGIN FOR A SET OF PLANOGRAMS COMPARE TO ANOTHER SET OF PLANOGRAMS FOR A GIVEN REGION.
 PLEASE HOLD FOR A BRIEF STORY ABOUT COKE-ZERO

EXISTENTIAL DECISION MAKING TIME

- DEAR DBA WHAT SAY YOU? HOW SHOULD WE GO ABOUT THIS? PLEASE LET US KNOW YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SYSTEMS, ARCHITECTURE, SCHEMA, AND/OR CRON.
 - EXISTING SYSTEM IS POSTGRESQL, AND PURELY RELATIONAL
 - INBOUND DATA IS LIKELY TO IMPOSE SIGNIFICANT PHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS
 - USE CASES ARE LIKELY TO IMPOSE SIGNIFICANT TEMPORAL CONSTRAINTS
 - SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENT (SLA) MANDATES:
 - 99.99% UPTIME (~52 MIN/YR. OF *UNSCHEDULED* DOWNTIME)
 - PAGE LOAD TIME LESS THAN 5 SECONDS

HOW DID IT SHAKE OUT?

- TLOG DATA IS VALIDATED BY AN AWS LAMDA FUNCTION .000015 SEC.
- VALIDATED TLOG DATA IS STORED IN MONGO DB (NOSQL)
- CRON JOBS COMPUTE ROLL-UP DATA (FROM NOSQL) EVERY 15 MINUTES
 DEPENDENT/DERIVED ROLL-UPS ARE ON TRIGGERS
- ROLL-UP DATA IS STORED IN POSTGRESQL RELATIONAL TABLES
 - MEMCACHED + CUSTOM EXTENSION KEEP THINGS FRESH
- USER-INTERFACES ARE PUSHED REFRESH NOTIFICATION(S)

KEY TAKE AWAYS

- THINK ABOUT YOUR PROBLEMS HOLISTICALLY AND CRITICALLY BEFORE YOU START MAKING DECISIONS THAT ARE EXPENSIVE TO REVERSE.
 - THERE'S NEVER ENOUGH TIME AND MONEY TO DO IT RIGHT THE FIRST TIME, BUT THERE IS ALWAYS ENOUGH TIME AND MONEY TO DO IT TWICE.
- 90% OF GAINFUL SOFTWARE EMPLOYMENT IS MAINTENANCE, NET-NEW CODE IS THE EXCEPTION, NOT THE RULE.
 - DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT YOUR SYSTEMS AS IF YOU PERSONALLY HAVE TO MAINTAIN THEM FOR THE NEXT 10 YEARS.
- "I DON'T KNOW" IS A LEGITIMATE ANSWER, AND WHEN USED APPROPRIATELY SHOULD BE HEARD OFTEN.
 - DUNNING KRUGER IS WORSE FOR YOUR LONG-TERM FUTURE THAN MOST MEDICAL CONDITIONS. LOOK IT UP.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- GOT ANY BRAIN CELLS LEFT?
 - I WOULD LOVE TO TAKE YOUR QUESTIONS!



WHO WANTS A JOB?! COME GET A BUSINESS CARD!